

FILED (DROP BOX)

MAR 25 2021

AT SEATTLE  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
BY DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

21-CV-410 PGM

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et  
rel. by KHUSHWINDER SINGH

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALEDADE, INC.; a Delaware  
Corporation and related corporations and  
LLC's,

Defendants.

NO.

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Filed Under Seal  
pursuant to  
31 U.S.C. §3730(b)(2)

COMES NOW the United States of America, by and through Khushwinder Singh, qui  
tam as Relator, and for a cause of action alleges as follows:

I. NATURE OF THE ALLEGATIONS

A. Defendant Aledade has helped set up a series of Accountable Care Organizations ("ACOs") which bill Medicare and take advantage of the Medicare Shared Savings Program ("MSSP"). Aledade receives funds through a shared incentive program with the ACOs it helps, splitting the shared savings with the healthcare practice. The Defendant promotes increasing revenue through a series of tools which cause physicians to focus on increasing specific higher-weighted Hierarchical Condition Category ("HCC") risk scores by "upcoding" medical diagnoses. Through its promotional materials and services, Aledade has repeatedly violated CMS guidelines intended to avoid overbilling and upcoding. The result is that Aledade causes

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND - 1

**Teller Law**  
1139 34<sup>th</sup> Ave  
Seattle, WA 98122  
(206) 324-8969 Fax: 860-3172

REC#: 95A103848

1 Medicare overbilling by increasing Medicare's burden to pay ACOs for shared savings under the  
2 MSSP program.

3 **I. JURISDICTION and VENUE**

4 1.1 Jurisdiction exists pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §3730(b)(1) and 31 U.S.C. §3732 in that  
5 this action seeks remedies on behalf of the United States of America for violations of 31 U.S.C.  
6 §3729 by the Defendants.

7 1.2 On information and belief, the "allegations or transactions" upon which this suit is  
8 based have not been publicly disclosed in a criminal, civil, or administrative hearing, in a  
9 congressional, administrative, or Government Accounting Office report, hearing, audit or  
10 investigation, or from the news media. 31 U.S.C. 3730(e)(4)(A).

11 1.3 Knowledge obtained by the U.S. Government was the result of a disclosure made  
12 by the Relator, including a disclosure on or about March 23, 2021 to the DHHS Office of Inspector  
13 General and the government's False Claims Act counsel.

14 1.4 The *qui tam* Plaintiff is an original source in that he "has direct and independent  
15 knowledge of the information on which the allegations are based." 31 U.S.C. §3730(e)(4)(B).  
16 He has been providing information through this litigation and has previously provided and offered  
17 to provide information to agents of the United States Government in connection with this matter.

18 1.5 Aledade, Inc. is a Delaware Corporation, but a search of the Delaware Secretary  
19 of State reflects numerous Aledade LLC's. This complaint is intended to cover those parts of  
20 Aledade and any related organization responsible for the fraud caused by its encouragement of  
21 healthcare practitioners to overbill Medicare and other allegations herein. They are referred to  
22 herein as "Aledade".

23 1.6 Aledade, Inc., and "Aledade" transact business in Seattle, Washington, within the  
24 Western District of Washington, as well as in other states of the United States of America.  
25

1.7 Venue exists in this District pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §3730(b)(1) in that Defendants are qualified to do business in the State of Washington and transact substantial business in the District.

## II. PARTIES

2.1 The Defendant Aledade is an Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Bethesda, Maryland, and is engaged in the business of, *inter alia*, contracting with healthcare providers to improve revenue for their practices.

2.2 Relator Khushwinder Singh resides in Washington State. He is an employee of Aledade, and was at material times employed in the capacity of Senior Medical Director of Risk and Wellness Product.

2.3 The United States Government is a party hereto. On information and belief, its Department of Health and Human Services pays Medicare benefits directly to physician practices, ACOs, and/or Aledade within this judicial district.

### III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

3.1 The practices identified herein have been ongoing for a period of years. Relator is a newer employee of Aledade with business responsibility related to communications with Aledade's partner ACOs. His duties included developing and improving clinical coding guidance to healthcare business partners, and checking and improving the underlying logical reasoning and medical coding basis for Aledade's algorithms. Allegations herein are made based on his personal knowledge.

### **A. Healthcare Providers Organize as Accountable Care Organizations for the MSSP**

3.2 Accountable Care Organizations in the Medicare Shared Savings Program earn shared savings from the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (“CMS”) when performance year expenditures for their assigned Medicare fee-for-service (“FFS”) population are lower than updated historical benchmark expenditures. Thus, ACOs are incentivized to arrange patient care

1 to minimize Medicare expenses for patients when they visit multiple providers or have hospital  
2 admissions. The goal is to streamline patient care.

3 3.3 The ACOs are incentivized to maintain good care for patients, but also required to  
4 accurately report diagnoses code for the patients under management. For patients that are  
5 complex i.e. sicker than an average Medicare beneficiary, accurate reporting of all the patients'  
6 chronic conditions is important to illustrate the complexity of management of such high risk  
7 patients.

8 3.4 ACOs of course must comply with CMS guidelines and the Code of Federal  
9 Regulations. The CMS manual for appropriate billing and coding requires that the service should  
10 be medically necessary and the diagnosis coding should meet the documentation and coding  
11 guidelines set forth in the ICD-10 coding manual.

12 3.5 Relevant CMS guidance reflects a strong aversion to informing healthcare providers  
13 about the economic impact of billing and coding decisions. For example, when writing a  
14 physician query to get clarity regarding documentation, the query should include the clinical  
15 indicators, and should not indicate the impact of reimbursement. CMS guidelines are to avoid  
16 putting financial data in front of providers when they are making diagnostic decisions.<sup>1</sup>

17 3.6 Placing Risk Adjustment Factors ("RAFs") on a coding tool distributed to  
18 physicians and their coders, as well as other Aledade tools, does indicate the impact of  
19 reimbursement and will adversely affect accurate code selection. Aledade does this and it should  
20 not.

21 3.7 This same CMS audit document also discusses who can amend a chart. A third party  
22 who was not directly involved with the clinical care of the patient is not permitted to do so:  
23 "Unacceptable Amendment – It is unacceptable for a third party that was not involved in the  
24 treatment and evaluation of the patient (e.g., coder, reviewer) to amend the medical record or  
25

---

<sup>1</sup> Contract-Level Risk Adjustment Data Validation Medical Record Reviewer Guidance In effect as of 03/20/2019, at p. 72

1 query the provider for additional diagnoses or clarifications not documented in the original  
2 medical record.”<sup>2</sup>

3 3.8 While Aledade claims that it does not allow its employees to guide physician choices  
4 in specific situations, on information and belief, this is occurring, and Aledade employees, as well  
5 as the App and other guidance and communications referred to herein, do in fact communicate  
6 amendments or potential amendments directly, and also convey improper queries to providers.

7 3.9 Because of the foregoing facts, the accuracy of the diagnoses codes-based data  
8 reported to CMS through these improper coding and claims submission practices is faulty, leading  
9 to improper payments made to Aledade ACOs.

10 3.10 These practices, including those detailed below, cause Aledade’s partner ACO  
11 healthcare provider practices to defraud Medicare.

12 **B. Aledade’s Focus on HCC “Weighted Codes” Causes Fraudulent Upcoding**

13 3.11 Since at least 2016, Aledade staff overseeing the physician practices, including those  
14 with job titles of ACO Director, ACO Coordinator, and Practice Transformation Specialist  
15 (“PTS”) have been heavily involved in the HCC coding education, leading to preferred HCC code  
16 selection and improper billing activities of Aledade’s partner ACOs.

17 3.12 Aledade created a digital application it calls the “Aledade App” (or “the App”) with  
18 a feature called the “Daily Huddle” in order to promote the use of HCC code suggestions focusing  
19 on “weighted codes” with greater HCC risk value. Aledade employs practice-facing staff to  
20 recommend to the practicing physician groups that they use the higher-weighted HCC codes for  
21 office visits frequently. Due to increased uptake by the ACOs of the higher-weighted HCC codes,  
22 and billing of higher weighted HCC suggestions, both Aledade and the practice profit more.

23 3.13 Multiple internal tools and data analytics dashboards were created for monitoring  
24 the progress of the practices via the Daily Huddle. From it Aledade staff can see how the HCC  
25

---

<sup>2</sup> *Id.* at p. 60.

1 risk categories are viewed and billed by the practice. ACO coordinators and PTSs are employed  
2 to maintain oversight of the individual practices' metrics – the “Risk billed” and “Risk viewed”  
3 metrics – to influence and promote the use of higher-risk-weighted diagnosis codes by providers.

4 3.14 Aledade's staff meetings with practices and physicians emphasize the use of these  
5 metrics to prioritize the use of weighted codes instead of non-weighted codes, including by  
6 encouraging the practice staff at the participating physician practices to manipulate and edit  
7 patients' problem lists in their medical records, including removing non-weighted codes and  
8 replacing them with weighted codes, and/or editing patient records to reflect HCC weighted code  
9 requirements.

10 3.15 Aledade's practice is intended to identify and provide the maximum number of Risk  
11 suggestions aka “weighted” HCC codes will be billed during the ACO performance years.

12 3.16 Senior leadership at Aledade had set internal goals for the practice oversight teams  
13 to ensure that a certain percentage of all HCC suggestions are converted to billed HCCs (on form  
14 837 files) every quarter. The goals are monitored via “Risk viewed” and “Risk billed” App  
15 metrics. ACO performance teams were directed to keep oversight of billed HCCs that reflect in  
16 monthly the CMS CCLF (“Claim and Claim Line Feed”) reporting dashboard.

17 3.17 For example, a dashboard example and workflow details were shared over the  
18 provider monthly call on February 19, 2021, where practices were advised to do everything they  
19 can to leverage the App suggestions from the Risk section of the Daily Huddle. The practices  
20 were also advised to adopt the Aledade suggested modified workflows that help increased uptake  
21 of weighted code suggestions.

22 3.18 Relator objected to the guidance on grounds identified here, and Aledade  
23 management modified the presentation for a later telecast, but never relayed the message to  
24 correct the February 19, 2021 communication to attendees.  
25

1        3.19 Practice Transformation Specialists (“PTs”) work with practices to leverage the  
2 risk codes that are available in the Daily Huddle App. There is a high likelihood that the PTs  
3 treats the ICD-10 code information, and the physician treats the Daily Huddle information as if  
4 they are a source of reliable data, relevant to clinical conditions of the patient, and they drive  
5 upcoding.

6        3.20 Several of the Aledade coding guidance materials, including Aledade App and  
7 PowerPoint presentations filled with screenshots of dashboards reflect monetary gain figures for  
8 the practice, along with HCC codes to be used. Actual diagnosis codes and their code description  
9 are used to explain to the physicians how choosing a particular weighted code is likely to bring  
10 more savings to their MSSP participation by adopting the frequent use of weighted codes that  
11 contributes to raising the risk score of their patients.

12        3.21 Many analytics dashboards and risk coding screenshots were also used in several  
13 high-profile physician-led meetings where the focus was how to document a patient’s record,  
14 keeping in mind the diagnosis that carries high risk-weight and therefore contributes significant  
15 dollar amounts and financial gains to their practices.

16        3.22 Several of Aledade’s C-suite, as well as ACO performance team members, including  
17 ACO directors, have referred to this as the appropriate way of communicating methodologies for  
18 maximizing the revenue and savings for Aledade, which stands to gain via revenue-sharing  
19 agreements when practices’ activities result in increased shared savings in the MSSP contracts  
20 and in also results in increasing RAF score for MA plan contracts in all markets where Aledade  
21 has a presence.

22        3.23 Aledade has also created a shared savings distribution formula (SSDF) where the  
23 providers and practices are given incentives to maintain a high percentage of “Daily Huddle use”  
24 and “Risk view.” This incentive structure reflects Aledade’s philosophy that showing the  
25 practices the specific incentives to maintain high risk coding for weighted codes.

1        3.24 Aledade has maintained the philosophy that if providers simply see these high-risk  
2 suggestions, they are likely to bill for these higher weighted categories, resulting in a higher  
3 patient risk score.

4        3.25 In relator's experience, and on information and belief, these activities lead to the  
5 promotion of over-coding and inappropriate amendments and other documentation activities  
6 related to diagnosis condition upcoding. Relator's in-house research shows that a greater  
7 percentage distribution of weighted codes were seen in comparison to unweighted codes for  
8 specific categories of conditions across majority of participating practices.

9        3.26 Aledade's materials and efforts are intended to directly or indirectly influence  
10 physicians' clinical judgment and their choice of weighted codes during the patient encounters  
11 that are likely to result in financial gains for those participating practices.

12        3.27 Aledade's activities herein are causing fraudulent upcoding and overbilling,  
13 including through overemphasis on physician choices of high risk HCC codes.

14 **C. Aledade's focus on increasing HCC coding and billing causes fraud**

15        3.28 In trying to establish that patients are sicker, which is done to increase the HCC risk  
16 score, medical record documentation and billing codes play a central role. In addition to the App,  
17 Aledade has created a system of prompts and guidances for healthcare providers which result in  
18 higher risk scores. For example, on page 23 of the Aledade "Risk Coding Toolkit section 5.b."  
19 suggested that the provider should "Code morbid obesity rather than obesity, unspecified." This  
20 is an invitation to upcode to reach an HCC goal in order to increase a patient's risk score. There  
21 are several such non-compliant coding examples throughout many of Aledade's risk coding  
22 materials.

23        3.29 In another example, Aledade provides 'cheat sheets' as known as "coding master  
24 sheet" or "quick reference guide" (QRG) containing a rounded Risk Adjustment Factors ("RAF")  
25 number which informs the providers exactly what situations will result in greater Hierarchical



1 Condition Category (“HCC”) codes. The RAF score determination should be the final step in a  
2 risk adjustment coding, not a starting point for encounter documentation. See Exh. C (Aledade  
3 CMS-HCC Coding Master sheet 2019)

4 3.30 Creation of the RAF “cheat sheet” for Aledade’s ACO practices facilitates upcoding.

5 3.31 Although CMS guidelines are clear that when communicating with physicians,  
6 queries about patient encounters should include the clinical indicators, and not indicate the impact  
7 of reimbursement, multiple Aledade communications explicitly detail the economic impact and  
8 imply that routine upcoding is appropriate. See, e.g., Exh. A (alcohol quick reference showing  
9 holiday drinks and dollar value).

10 3.32 Aledade produces a series of “Quick Reference” guides, e.g., Exh. B (Behavioral  
11 Health Quick Reference Guide), which identify specifically the additional HCC risk value  
12 associated with various mental health diagnoses.

13 3.33 At least some of these guidances are inaccurate, and will cause fraudulent upcoding  
14 if followed by the ACO practitioners. For example, under Supplemental Tactics in page 27 of  
15 the Aledade “Risk Coding Toolkit,” it is suggested that either morbid obesity or a BMI can be  
16 reported to capture an HCC. This is untrue. According to the ICD-10-CM guidelines I.C.21.c.3):  
17 “BMI codes should only be assigned when there is an associated, reportable diagnosis (such as  
18 obesity).” If providers, either carelessly or through improper motivation, use the HCC codes  
19 suggested by Aledade, Medicare’s burden to reimburse ACOs for shared savings is increased  
20 improperly.

21 3.34 In summary, the Aledade system violates coding compliance rules and ignores ICD-  
22 10 coding and reporting guidelines. Aledade “games the system” to cause the practices to report  
23 higher acuity codes through office visit claims. With supplementation from the proprietary  
24 algorithm within the Aledade App, the suggested workflows motivate the ACO practices to  
25 schedule Annual Wellness Visits (“AWV”) for specific patients with the intent of documenting

1 chronic conditions that will likely result in increasing the patient's risk score. In doing so, Aledade  
2 increases physician revenue and, at the same time, because of its relationship to the practice,  
3 Aledade's ACOs becomes eligible for shared savings as a direct result of increased risk score for  
4 the patients under ACO's management.

5 **D. Minimal Risk coding, auditing, and coding compliance training focus**

6 3.35 Aledade intends to avoid liability for physician upcoding by maintaining distance  
7 from the medical records themselves. Chief Compliance Officer Sarah Chasson along with the  
8 ACO performance team and Chief Medical Officer Emily Maxson have asserted that there is no  
9 downside to the promotion of higher HCC risk codes through a regulatory compliance philosophy  
10 that claims participating physician providers are responsible for what they code and bill. Ms.  
11 Chasson informed the Relator on two separate occasions that Aledade believes it is not  
12 responsible for the accuracy of diagnoses codes and their substantiation in the patients' medical  
13 record associated with the office visit claims, which are submitted directly to CMS by the ACO  
14 practitioners, not by Aledade.

15 3.36 At the same time, Aledade, in its internal "Risk Coding and Compliance Training"  
16 module, has conveyed the message that Aledade and its staff will work with the participating  
17 practices to help them review their patients' medical records, review documentation pitfalls and  
18 help practices perform accurate HCC coding and billing.

19 3.37 Aledade's staff have participated in coding related activities, but frequently left the  
20 physicians to choose HCC codes based on App suggestions, and did not provide correct  
21 documentation and coding guidance. Over the years, no effort was made to ensure that inaccurate  
22 reporting is corrected in a timely manner and providers were not given any evidence-based HCC  
23 coding education to improve their documentation of chronic reportable conditions.

24 3.38 Recently, in March 2021, the language around medical record review support in the  
25 risk training course material was deleted and archived, and a new version with more careful

1 messaging around risk coding related activities was introduced. The prior materials show that  
2 fraud was caused for a period of years.

3 3.39 Aledade's C-suite level senior leadership personnel seeks to create a fiction that it  
4 does not engage in any medical record HCC review or auditing. In private communications,  
5 however, senior leadership and other staff have indicated such activities could reveal that many  
6 practices' medical record documentation are substandard, and high weighted HCC codes may not  
7 be substantiated in the medical record. Such a circumstance would require a report to CMS and  
8 lead to a drop in risk score and loss of shared savings, and damage Aledade's revenue.

9 3.40 Instead of promoting coding compliance practices, or providing physician education  
10 which would improve documentation and appropriate HCC recapture, the focus of Aledade ACO  
11 performance leadership team is the promotion and billing of "weighted codes." At the same,  
12 time, Aledade did not provide sufficient certified coding education resources to the practices.

13 3.41 The practices that have asked for assistance with HCC risk coding and E/M level  
14 billing were informed that Aledade currently does not support medical record audit services, but  
15 that Aledade corporate will come up with additional add-on coding support to fulfill such requests  
16 in the future. Again, the philosophy is that despite promoting certain weighted risk codes, so long  
17 as Aledade leaves the specific coding to the practices themselves, risk is avoided, but in fact  
18 Aledade, by pointing out how to do it, is causing physicians to commit fraud.

19 **E. Distributing incorrect guidance materials for high-value 'weighted' HCC coding**

20 3.42 Although Aledade upper management takes the position that no guidance is  
21 provided, in fact some PTS and other practice-facing personnel do provide direct guidance to  
22 ACOs regarding coding. In that process, coding compliance and clinical documentation basic  
23 rules and guidelines were ignored by many of the Aledade's staff who are regularly involved with  
24 the practices.  
25

1        3.43    Some among the Aledade staff have very little experience with HCC coding, and  
2 little or no experience providing appropriate documentation and coding education to providers.  
3 In many instances, the coding education that was provided to practices was not performed by any  
4 certified coding professionals and in many instances was done by PTS staff and Regional and  
5 local Medical directors (many of whom do not have certifications or significant HCC coding  
6 background or experience). These practice-facing staff simply followed their senior directors and  
7 predecessors, including passing coding material slides to each other for guidance without ensuring  
8 accuracy of the instructions they were giving to the providers and practices.

9        3.44    A number of the ACO practice management teams had a practice of responding to  
10 coding questions by searching the internet for information, often without using CMS-approved  
11 or accurate coding guidelines or quarterly updates. A significant amount of the coding guidance  
12 was based on assumptions, rumor, and passing on information between or among each other or  
13 other senior staff in the company. These and other teams also sought support from within the  
14 company's coding-related Slack channels which contained inaccurate guidance, but were taken  
15 as accurate by said teams due to lack of experience.

16        3.45    In the last several years, Aledade provided the ACO practices incorrect and non-  
17 compliant coding training, coding education materials, and HCC coding master sheets which did  
18 not have a true interpretation of the official ICD-10 coding guidelines. Through these coding  
19 materials and code specific explanations given in all coding materials published by Aledade, the  
20 staff overseeing the coding related communications conveyed to physicians and their ancillary  
21 staff how to choose weighted codes based on HCC suggestions in the Aledade App and make  
22 inferences on how to quickly apply the coding concepts, choosing HCC codes as they schedule  
23 and prepare for patients visits, and bill for the E/M visits using preferred weighted codes.

24        3.46    Several weighted HCC ICD-10 codes and their code descriptions were used in  
25 Aledade's materials to show and explain to physicians how to choose particular weighted codes

1 in specific situations, using them as preferred medical diagnoses conditions, and prompting  
2 physicians to select patient diagnosis from the list of HCC codes and their descriptions and avoid  
3 using unweighted ICD-10 codes for billing. The weighted HCC code information is therefore  
4 driving physician to choose preferred medical diagnoses and code them based on the weighted  
5 ICD-10 entries, and not the other way around as it should be.

6 3.47 This observation was widely noted and this is almost identical to describing the ease  
7 of use of HCC codes that are made available in the ICD-10-CM codeset. The Regional Medical  
8 Directors, many of them who are practicing physicians would describe this as choosing a  
9 particular ICD-10 code as simple and easy, rather than describing the patient's condition, and its  
10 complications, physician's assessment and plan of treatment.

11 3.48 Certified coders and medical auditors will describe such a documentation pattern—  
12 using the ICD-10 code and its description to construct the visit documentation around the choice  
13 of diagnosis code—as an improper approach to documenting when the treating physician does  
14 not fully translate the medical decision making process at the time of the patient encounter into  
15 the medical record, leading to a mismatch between the diagnosis code billed and the  
16 documentation of that particular patient encounter on that day

17 3.49 Coding guidelines require that physicians describe the details of the service provided  
18 regarding a patient's condition, describe their medical judgment, the complexity of medical  
19 decision making, and the specificity of the complication caused by or associated with the medical  
20 condition. Accurate coding is done based on the documentation that is provided by the physician  
21 during the visit. Coders work with providers to code out the confirmed diagnosis, or will get  
22 clarification from the treating physician if the documentation does not specify enough details  
23 about the disease and its complication, or they will code for symptoms if the disease is not  
24 confirmed or is still under investigation (as per outpatient coding guidelines).  
25

1        3.50    Instead, Aledade's materials have suggested it is appropriate to code for conditions  
2 as if they are established when the diagnosis was inconclusive at the time of the medical visit and  
3 the condition is being investigated.

4        3.51    Additionally, non-compliant coding advice was given for many categories of codes  
5 e.g. coding for Morbid obesity, Alcohol and other substance use, Diabetes and its complications,  
6 mental health conditions including Depression, and others. The HCC-focused coding guidance  
7 materials developed by Aledade and its staff gave physicians and their staff easy 'tips & tricks'  
8 on how to choose and code for weighted HCC conditions instead of unweighted non-HCC  
9 diagnosis codes for a particular disease condition category. The coding materials are full of such  
10 elaborate and non-compliant HCC coding examples.

11        3.52    Through all-staff, field team training, other meetings, seminars, webinars, and in-  
12 person meetings with physician practices, Aledade has led the front line practicing physicians to  
13 believe that what Aledade is publishing and conveying to them is in accordance with official ICD-  
14 10 and CPT guidelines, whereas many coding compliance rules were ignored, coding rules were  
15 bent, and coding guidelines were misinterpreted, causing incorrect diagnosis coding and billing  
16 guidance to be given to the physicians and their practice staff. Aledade staff encouraged coding  
17 and billing staff to promote HCC coding within their practices with the frequent use of weighted  
18 codes and include them in their daily workflows.

19 **F.    These activities also impact Medicare Advantage charges**

20        3.53    In many markets, the Aledade ACO practices also participated in value-based care  
21 arrangements with Medicare Advantage health plans. In those markets, participating practices  
22 were guided on how following Aledade's primary care practice model. The practices were  
23 promised greater success in value-based contracts as they gain in revenue and savings by  
24 increasing risk score (RAF score). Aledade explained to practice providers how among other  
25 process workflow modifications, their revenue and savings can benefit from an increased HCC

1 coding, resulting in increase in patients' RAF score, which results in the increase of the Medicare  
2 Part C payments through contracts with Medicare Advantage plans which have attributed the  
3 Medicare beneficiaries to Aledade's practices. Since the value-arrangements are based on Risk  
4 score, there is a direct incentive to maintain a high-risk score by billing for higher "weighted"  
5 HCC codes instead of codes that are non-weighted.

6 3.54 Aledade sales staff used improper non-compliant materials to lure other new  
7 prospective independent and established practices to participate in the MSSP, and Medicare  
8 Advantage risk-sharing value-based arrangements by showing how all other practices were able  
9 to gain from the shared savings practices that Aledade has developed and by use of its ACO  
10 management platform. This led many prospective practices to believe that by joining Aledade  
11 they can also easily gain revenue and maintain high-profits by joining such value-based care-  
12 focused entity.

13 **G. Promoting inappropriate documentation and billing of AWP visits**

14 3.55 Through the years, a high number of Annual Wellness Visits ("AWV") were  
15 scheduled and billed to increase the risk scores of the Medicare beneficiaries. The activities  
16 involved Aledade staff and practice staff creating worklists to call patients and schedule visits via  
17 Telehealth and in-person visits to increase ACO attribution and increase risk scores. Physician  
18 practices were advised to give priority to the "weighted" HCC codes to increase the Risk score  
19 capture of the patient by scheduling AWP's for that purpose.

20 3.56 On a regular basis, a list of high-risk patients is generated by Aledade's algorithm,  
21 which uses the probable high-risk category codes in the Aledade app. This feature enables the  
22 PTS staff to communicate to the clinic staff to schedule AWP's and bring in patients to close high-  
23 value risk care-gaps. Since the algorithm works on diagnosis probability assumptions, scheduling  
24 these visits generated revenue for the clinics, and many times add-on Evaluation and Management  
25

1 ("E/M") visits were also billed. Many patients were reported to be not happy about the co-pay  
2 that the extra E/M visits cost to them.

3 3.57 In some instances, e.g., when Alcohol abuse or dependence was noted in patient's  
4 medical charts, patients have been reported to have got angry and called back physicians with  
5 their concerns about the incorrectness of the medical diagnosis that the doctor was detailing about  
6 their lifestyle and habits. Some PTSs have expressed to Relator that sometimes patients are not  
7 willing to come for such AWV as they do not feel they need it. Practice staff and PTS have  
8 informed patients that such visits are necessary for care planning, are required by Medicare and  
9 will incur no co-pay.

10 3.58 For promoting member attribution to a particular ACO practice, Aledade and its  
11 high-profile staff including some physician executives gave instructions to practice facing staff  
12 to suggest the practices have a Nurse Practitioner, Physician Assistant, or other qualified mid-  
13 level providers do the AWVs and bill the AWVs under National Provider Identifier ("NPI") of  
14 the main ACO physician.

15 3.59 The Aledade staff advised practice physicians with explanations that clearly  
16 portrayed that by doing so they were meeting the Medicare "incident to" billing requirement.  
17 Additionally, they advised, towards the end of the visit the main practice physician can either  
18 meet with the patient or come into the room briefly, e.g., "stick his/her head into the room" and  
19 sign the visit documentation to justify use of the physician's NPI.

20 3.60 During regular meetings with PTS team members, Relator was told that some  
21 physicians also utilized the co-visit service to bill such AWV visits, as an alternate way of billing  
22 for AWV so as to maintain the attribution of the patients to their ACO. The fact that the visit note  
23 is signed by the practice's ACO leading provider and the claim is billed under that NPI, made  
24 many practices believe and follow Aledade's expert guidance to bill for AWV and secure the  
25 patient attribution to the ACO to help increase the shared savings.



1        3.61    The AWW guidance materials developed by Aledade were created to emphasize that  
2 AWWs can become high-value visits for Risk coding and the practices were informed that they  
3 can limit the documentation requirements and still bill all the important chronic HCC codes for  
4 their patients who they schedule for AWWs. During many meetings with several Regional  
5 Medical Directors and with CMO, the Relator came to realize that Aledade has misinterpreted  
6 and/or misstated the AWW documentation requirements and ignored regulations around proper  
7 documentation of patients' active chronic conditions during the AWWs. Multiple Aledade senior  
8 and mid-staff individuals explained to their practices their own version of the message from the  
9 corporate leadership including physician executives who lead their market groups, and this  
10 resulted in the ACO practices' and their physicians believing that they can list all possible chronic  
11 conditions from the patients' problem list, prioritize the weighted HCC codes on the AWW claim,  
12 and bill for them without actually addressing them with the patient during the AWW visit.

13        3.62    Aledade has been providing guidance and encouraging the AWWs due to the "shared  
14 savings incentive" created by capturing HCC risk coding during the AWWs. Over the years,  
15 Aledade's Performance team and CMO staff have frequently promoted this message by saying  
16 that the visit does not require all the components of the typical E/M visits, so all of the patient's  
17 chronic conditions codes correlating to the weighted codes from the Aledade App can be placed  
18 on the bill without much documentation in the AWW medical record. Aledade staff has repeatedly  
19 told physicians that they do not have to document all active problems that a patient has during  
20 those visits, and such AWW visits are the right opportunity to bill for all the weighted HCCs on  
21 patient's problem lists on to the AWW claim.

22        3.63    With the use of screening tools during AWWs, Aledade promotes the message that  
23 preventive screenings create more evidence of a weighted code, e.g., using an Alcohol screening  
24 gains incremental revenue for that service and also allow physicians to bill for F10.99, which is  
25 a weighted HCC code with little specificity around alcohol use. Clinical scenarios presented by

1 Aledade to its ACO providers also reflect that coding for specific weighted codes can simplify  
2 the use of HCC code. This type of encouragement is present in many of the coding materials,  
3 one-pagers, and power points, and they result in physicians overlooking or ignoring the clinical  
4 coding compliance requirements for coding and billing for the higher weighted HCC codes.

5 3.64 In many instances, the coders and billers at the practices were guided to look up the  
6 HCC coding master sheet aka “coding cheat sheets,” replace the unweighted codes on patient  
7 problem list with weighted codes, and bill them along with all types of patient visits, including  
8 AWWs. Many practices do not have certified coders, and instead providers simply forwarded the  
9 cheat sheets to their third party billing companies and asked to prioritize billing of the weighted  
10 codes.

11 3.65 Recently, in March of 2021, Aledade has begun to alter the heavy emphasis reflected  
12 above, however, nothing is being done to correct the problems created in past years. Instead  
13 Aledade is emphasizing that nothing was done wrong or messaged wrong previously, and that  
14 prior communications followed CMS guidance—there were merely some grey areas previously.  
15 Many of the previously developed coding materials, power-points, 1-pagers, and other coding  
16 collateral were archived.

#### 17 **H. Additional Examples of Inappropriate Diagnosis Coding and Workflow Guidance**

18 3.66 Aledade materials provided incorrect diagnosis coding and billing guidance in many  
19 instances. For example, the guidance document titled “Coder’s Corner - Frequently Missed” says  
20 – “Are you holding claims awaiting completion of a note or identification of the proper diagnosis  
21 code? Typically, this should be done and addressed with the physician and/or provider within 48-  
22 72 hours while the exam is still fresh in your mind. The physician and/or provider should be able  
23 to answer the question in a timely manner and you should identify the best way of communication  
24 via EHR or in person. Also, it is not advised to hold claims for confirmation of a diagnosis, based  
25 on findings from labs or diagnostic testing.”

1        3.67 This above-mentioned guidance likely led physicians to send in claims with an  
2 incomplete or unconfirmed diagnosis. As per section IV of the ICD-10 coding guidelines and the  
3 CMS claims integrity manual, the physicians' practices are required to send in claims certifying  
4 the reason of visit, indicating the medical necessity along with any confirmed diagnosis that was  
5 a part of the medical decision-making process on the day of the patient visit. The physicians are  
6 required to maintain a record of what was addressed/evaluated/confirmed and documented during  
7 the visit. Suspected or probable diagnoses are never coded as confirmed diagnoses as per  
8 outpatient coding guidelines. Aledade's messaging most likely caused significant overbilling of  
9 unconfirmed diagnoses as it continued to promote the billing of weighted HCC codes

10       3.68 For many E/M services (office visits) including CCM (chronic care management)  
11 visits, the Aledade PTS and the billers/coders at the practices were advised to include all possible  
12 and matching weighted HCC codes on the CCM claims. Many process shortcuts and customized  
13 workflows were created to convert the non-weighted codes to weighted HCC codes in the  
14 patients' chart and add these specific target HCC codes to the patient problem lists.

15       3.69 This above was revealed to the Relator by a senior PTS staff member who explicitly  
16 revealed that several clinic staff were doing this under a blank permission rule from the practice  
17 physician who would trust them with making diagnoses code entries to a patient's medical record  
18 in the EHR. In such scenarios, the physicians responsible for those patient records were assuming  
19 that such alteration of medical records is appropriate for clinic staff, and choosing better-weighted  
20 codes as per Aledade's guidance complies with regulatory requirements. In many small to  
21 medium size provider owned practices, the physician spouse is the office manager and the main  
22 person responsible for supervising or managing workflows involving medical records, coding,  
23 and billing.

24       3.70 This results in incomplete or inaccurate records. The treating physician (or non-  
25 physician practitioner) would need to add the appropriate medical diagnosis and its corresponding

1 ICD-10 code, *if* it meets the criteria of accurate coding and documentation as per the treating  
2 physician's own medical judgement. Adding a diagnosis made by anyone except by the treating  
3 physician would be an improper amendment or alteration of the medical record and, if done  
4 inappropriately, violates many provisions that protect the authenticity of patients' medical  
5 records.

6 3.71 Many practices are still following Aledade's coding and billing guidelines, and  
7 continue to send incorrect patient diagnosis data and bill Medicare for all these types of E/M  
8 visits. Many times coders and billers at these practices interpreted lab values to conclude  
9 diagnosis codes and also led the provider to choose the higher weighted code in a non-compliant  
10 way. It is known company-wide at Aledade that the coders and billers at the practices look up the  
11 Aledade HCC coding master sheets and use the preferred weighted codes and bill them along  
12 with all types of visits including AWWs. Many other practices do not have certified coders and  
13 simply follow Aledade's coding guidances.

14 3.72 The PTS, coder, and billers within a practice group typically suggest to physicians  
15 the ICD-10 codes from the daily huddle app that are closely matched to a patient's disease profile.  
16 This easily leads to over-billing and over-coding of higher weighted HCC codes. Most of these  
17 conversations have been reported to have been conducted in a non-compliant way, e.g., leading  
18 the provider to consider a specific weighted HCC code from the HCC suggestions pool in the  
19 daily huddle App, resulting in better "Risk resolved" and "Risk billed" metrics, that in turns lead  
20 to more physician incentives through SSDF and an increase shared savings.

21 3.73 Such Aledade resources titled, "Risk\_Optimization\_Checklist\_final\_version",  
22 "Aledade KS Missed HCC Opportunities Guide 2017-11 (1)", and "Aledade KS Missed HCC  
23 Opportunities Guide 2017-11 (1)", "Coder's Corner\_Coding and Billing Tips One Pager  
24 3.12.19" and many others depict that the central theme is to promote HCC coding through creative  
25 workflows.

1        3.74    Such faulty claim submissions with incorrect diagnoses coding data were submitted  
2 by practices for several years and this resulted in increased risk score, contributing to ACO shared  
3 savings (as determined by CMS) and also caused an undue burden to Medicare. It has also led to  
4 false high patient risk "RAF" score reporting for the Medicare beneficiaries under the Medicare  
5 Advantage plans.

6        3.75    Sub-standard incorrect diagnosis coding data has led to overutilization of services,  
7 over-payments and has been the center of fraud, waste, and abuse related to HCC coding for many  
8 years.

9    **I.    Resubmission of prior adjudicated claims to enhance risk scores**

10       3.76    In 2020 (and likely for other prior years), Aledade advised some of its participating  
11 ACO practices to re-submit claims after the MSSP contract period was over. This re-billing of  
12 claims activities was led by some senior members of the Aledade's ACO performance team and  
13 other ACO Directors. They worked with and instructed the practice-facing staff to convey reports  
14 and direct many ACO practices to resubmit the claims with weighted HCC codes that were not  
15 found to be matching the year-end CCLF data. This was done for the claims that were within the  
16 1-year timely filing period so as not to lose the opportunity to submit HCC codes to ensure high  
17 patient risk scores that could be gained from re-submitting the claims with additional weighted  
18 HCC codes.

19       3.77    Aledade staff or any other certified risk adjustment coders did not do a review of  
20 medical records to ensure that the diagnoses codes which were submitted were appropriately  
21 substantiated in the medical records for those dates of service claims. All efforts were put towards  
22 increasing the number of HCC codes leading to probable upcoding for HCC diagnosis.

23       3.78    In many instances, Aledade and practice staff worked together to alter/amend the  
24 medical records by adding or modifying the diagnoses codes, added/alterd ICD-10 codes on new  
25 or previously billed claims, added new coding diagnoses to reflect patient missed chronic

1 conditions, and re-submitted the claims for past dates of service. This was done to meet the high  
2 'Risk score' requirement for the practice's patients and to ensure that the practice makes it to the  
3 high shared savings as per the ACO MSSP contract.

4 3.79 Aledade's ACO Executive Director Jeff Mandel informed Relator that this was  
5 purposely done to maintain high-risk scores, and maximize the shared savings for certain practices  
6 that were on the verge of losing the shared savings in the MSSP contract.

7 3.80 In his research of the claims data, and based on conversations with his colleagues at  
8 Aledade, Relator concludes that a large percentage of the claims submitted do not reflect the true  
9 and accurate picture of the patients' active chronic conditions at the time. While, over the years,  
10 substantial efforts were made to increase the risk score of the patients by adding all possible  
11 weighted HCC codes to the office visit claims, Aledade and many of its ACO practices did not  
12 make any reasonable efforts to ensure the accuracy of the HCC codes billed on these office claims,  
13 or correct the claims where inaccuracies were found during record reviews. The clinical validation  
14 aspect of many of the HCC codes that were billed was largely ignored.

15 **J. Intentional Scheme or Plan**

16 3.81 Aledade is responsible for the actions of its employees which were taken for its  
17 benefit.

18 3.82 Aledade's actions are a substantial factor in producing foreseeable false claims.

19 3.83 As a result of the above, Aledade and numerous ACOs have been paid in violation  
20 of Medicare certifications.

21 3.84 As referenced above, Medicare funds are paid to Aledade and its participating ACOs  
22 in much higher amounts than if accurate guidance had been given to the providers who participate  
23 in the Aledade ACO system.

24 3.85 The purpose of the above-referenced scheme was to obtain government money for  
25 Aledade and its ACOs to which it was otherwise not entitled.

1       3.86 Defendants, acting through their agents or employees, knowingly took the actions  
2 identified herein, which foreseeably caused to be presented to an officer or employee of the United  
3 States Government, false or fraudulent claims for payment or approval.

4       3.87 Defendants, acting through their agents or employees, including ACOs of which it  
5 is a part, knowingly caused a false record or statement to be made and/or used in order to get a  
6 false or fraudulent claim paid or approved by the Government.

7       3.88 Defendants, acting through their agents or employees, conspired to defraud the  
8 Government by getting a false or fraudulent claim allowed or paid.

9       3.89 Defendants made these false representations of material fact knowingly as that term  
10 is defined in 31 U.S.C. § 3729(b).

11       3.90 The false representations were believed by the government and acted upon by the  
12 government to its damage.

13       3.91 These practices resulted in higher billing for services than were legally allowed, and  
14 resulted in Aledade and its ACOs receiving more money than they were entitled to because of the  
15 knowingly fraudulent actions of its employees and agents.

16       3.92 These fraudulent practices have been ongoing and continuing for a period of years.

17                   **IV. CLAIMS OF THE UNITED STATES**

18       4.1 The facts stated above give rise to a violation of the Federal False Claims Act, 31  
19 U.S.C. 3729(a)(1)(2)(3).

20       4.2 The defendants are liable for the actions of their agents, and their employees under  
21 the doctrine of Respondeat Superior.

22                   **V. DAMAGES SUFFERED BY THE UNITED STATES**

23       5.1 As a proximate cause of the fraudulent practices described above the United States  
24 of America has suffered damages in amounts fraudulently billed to the United States.  
25

1 **VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

2 **WHEREFORE** plaintiff prays for damages as follows on behalf of the United States,  
3 and/or on his own behalf as appropriate:


4 **On behalf of the United States:**

- 5 8.1 Economic damages in an amount to be proven at time of trial.  
6 8.2 A civil penalty in the maximum amount allowed by law.  
7 8.3 Treble damages as provided for in 31 U.S.C. §3729(a).  
8 8.4 Prejudgment interest.  
9 8.5 Reasonable attorney fees and costs.  
10 8.6 Whatever additional damages the court shall deem to be just and equitable.

11 **VII. JURY DEMAND**

12 Relator hereby demands a jury.

13 DATED this 25<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2021.

14 

15  
16 Stephen A. Teller, WSBA #23372  
Attorney for Relator Singh